

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/913,869

Applicant(s)

SCHEPER ET AL.

Examiner

John M Petruncio

Art Unit

1751

## All Participants:

(1) John M Petruncio.

(2) Cynthia Clay, Esq.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: \_\_\_\_\_

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:



Telephonic



Video Conference



Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:


## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*restriction as to divisible subject matter, and allowable subject matter*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

3-22-04  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)